UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 10/677,708 | 10/02/2003 | Jerry H. Stoller | SOR028/189241 | 9195 |
| | 7590 12/08/200 KURTH, L.L.P. | EXAMINER | | |
| 600 TRAVIS, S | SUITE 4200 | | PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL | |
| HOUSTON, TX 77002 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1616 | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/08/2009 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

pat-tmk@andrewskurth.com

| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ALTON N. PRYOR Dears on the cover sheet with the c | 1616 orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Pears on the cover sheet with | orrespondence address S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH() ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE | S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, I. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Second 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 2. | action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1,5-13,16-18,20,21,25-31,33-43,45 and 47-70 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,5-13,16-18,20,21,25-31,33-43,45,47-70 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) | ate | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Previous rejections not addressed below are withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,5-13,16-18,20,21,25-31,33-43,45,47-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Clough (USPN 4496388; 1/29/85). Clough teaches a fungicidal composition comprising metal complex of the compound of formula I (abstract, column 6 lines 14-18). Clough teaches that the composition can comprise additional compounds such as auxins including indoleacetic acid, indole butyric acid, and naphthyacetic acid (column 11 lines 7-39), anionic surfactants including calcium lignosulphonate (column 10 lines 1-18) as well as ingredients such as calcium carbonate (column 9 lines 3-19) Clough teaches that the composition can exist in many forms including aqueous dispersions (column 9 lines 25-68). Clough teaches a method of controlling fungi such as phytophthora and rhizoctonia (column 6 lines 39-50) growth on plants such as coffee beans, soya beans and potatoes, i.e. monocots and dicots (column 6 lines 19-36, lines 55-66, column 7 lines 41-64), by applying the composition onto plants and/or their seeds. Clough does not exemplify an invention of controlling fungi by applying a composition comprising metal complex of the compound of formula I, indoleacetic acid, indole butyric acid and calcium lignosulphonate onto plants and/or their seeds. However, it would have been

Application/Control Number: 10/677,708

Art Unit: 1616

obvious to arrive at such an invention since Clough suggests the combination of ingredients to be applied to plants and/or their seeds to control fungi growth. Clough does not teach the invention of treating onion plants and/or their seeds with the auxin and metal mixture. It would have been obvious to do this since an onion plant is a monocot plant.

Response to Applicant's argument

Page 3

The Applicants argues that Clough teaches the use of hazardous compounds such as triazolylalkanetriols, whereas instant invention avoids the use of hazardous chemicals. Clough does not teach or suggest the substitution of hazardous triazolylalkanetriol compounds by instant environmentally friendly auxins. The Examiner reiterates that claims employ "comprising" language which allows for the inclusion of additional method steps such as a step of adding said hazardous chemicals (triazolylalkanetriols) like those disclosed in Clough. The Examiner further argues that amended claims do not avoid the use of the triazolylalkanetriols compounds taught by Clough. Note, instant claim language recites, "an aqueous solution including a fungi-inhibiting component consisting of". The "including" language makes the invention open to the ingredients disclosed in Clough. Therefore, triazolylalkanetriols taught by Clough can be added to the instant invention. Also note, Clough at column 10 lines 19 -26 teaches that compositions disclosed therein can be prepared in the form of an aqueous homogeneous preparation.

The Applicants argue the Clough does not disclose or suggest that auxins can be used as the active for inhibiting fungi and insects (larvae thereof) attack. The Examiner argues that a suggested aspect of Clough's invention involves the application of a composition containing auxins to plants (see column 9 lines 3-19 and column 11 lines 7-39). This scenario of Clough's invention embraces the same active step of the instant invention, i.e. the application of the

Application/Control Number: 10/677,708

Art Unit: 1616

Page 4

composition comprising an auxin to plants. Since Clough shares the same active step recited in the instant claims, it is automatic that both inventions would yield the same result, i.e. the protection of the plants from fungi and insects (larvae thereof) attack or infestation.

Applicant argues that neither the Clough patent nor the Drake and Eden patents, discloses/suggests the claimed use of an auxin as a means for controlling the infestation of fungi and insects and their larvae on plants. Clough does not teach/suggest the use of auxins together with micronutrients to protect plants from attack by fungi and insects and their larvae in the absence of triazole and imidazole compounds. The Examiner reiterates that Clough suggests that to his composition can be added other ingredients such as indoleacetic acid (auxin), indole butyric acid (auxin) and calcium carbonate (alkaline metal source). See column 9 lines 3-19 and column 11 lines 7-39. Clough teaches that the composition can be applied to plants in order to control fungi growth (column 6 line 19 - column 7 line 64). While it is true that Clough does not exemplify a composition comprising indole acetic acid or indole butyric acid plus calcium carbonate and the composition's application to plants, Clough does suggest the combination of ingredients and its application to plants; thus, the suggestion makes obvious the instant invention. A reference is not required to provide all composition and application method scenarios to render an invention obvious. It is reiterated that instant claims employ "comprising" language which allows for the inclusion of additional method steps such as a step of adding a hazardous chemical like those disclosed in Clough.

Application/Control Number: 10/677,708 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALTON N. PRYOR whose telephone number is (571)272-0621.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alton N. Pryor/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616